CONTENTS



- A. Project title
- **B.** Project description
- C. Proof of project eligibility
- D. Unique Project Identification
- E. Outcome stakeholder consultation process
- F. Outcome sustainability assessment
- G. Sustainability monitoring plan



H. Additionality and conservativeness deviations



Annex 1 ODA Declaration Annex 2 Invitations for SFR Meeting Annex 3 SFR Meeting Participants List Annex 4 Original Feedback Forms



SECTION A.

Project Title

ARALIK HEPP Version:08 Date:07/07/2011

SECTION B. Project description

ARALIK is a 'Run-off-River' type hydroelectric power plant (HEPP) Project located on Aralik River in Borcka District of Artvin Province. The Project will be implemented by KAR-EN Karadeniz Elektrik ve Üretim Ticaret A.Ş. (Th ecompany name has recently changed as Artvin Coruh Elektrik Üretim Sanayi ve Ticaret AŞ)



Figure 1. ARALIK HEPP Project Area

The project was granted an operating license by Energy Market Regulatory Agency (EMRA) on 16.05.2006. The project aims to generate energy from the 300 meters fall on Aralik River 1km. upstream before the river merges with Coruh river. The project will maintain steady flow of water to the river to ensure the natural life is unaffected.

The 2682m tunnel enable water flow at a 5.0m3/sec to the turbine, and the water will be left to the river without a change in the chemical composition. The annual electricity energy generation is estimated at 45.15 GWh.

According to calculations based on electricity generation estimates, Aralik HEPP project will result



in a CO_2 reduction of 25,374 tons per year due to use of renewable resources for electricity generation.

The construction is planned to be completed in 2 years. The plant is planned to be in operation for 46 years and will provide permanent job opportunity for about 12 personnel during the operation phase. Local applicants will be given preference during the recruitment process.



Figure 2. ARALIK HEPP Penstock and Powerhouse Location

Project construction has started on 21/03/2008. Project is operational since may 2010.



SECTION C. Proof of project eligibility

C.1. Scale of the Project

| Project Type | Large | Small |
|--------------|-------|-------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

|--|--|--|

C.2. Host Country

Host country Turkey has ratified Kyoto Protocol in February 2009 but is not expected to have a cap on GHG emissions.



C.3. Project Type

| Project type | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Does your project activity classify as a Renewable Energy project? | | |
| Does your project activity classify as an End-use Energy Efficiency Improvement project? | | |

Please justify the eligibility of your project activity:

Project activity involves construction of a 12.41 MW capacity run-off-river hydroelectric power plant for electricity generation. Project category is included in the sectoral scope 1 "Energy Industry – Renewable Sources" according to the UNFCCC definition.

| Pre Announcement | Yes | No |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| Was your project previously announced? | | |
| Carbon credit has been taken into account by project investors in mak to proceed. | ing the proje | ct decision |



C.4. Greenhouse gas

| Greenhouse Gas | |
|----------------|--|
| Carbon dioxide | |
| Methane | |
| Nitrous oxide | |

C.5. Project Registration Type

| Project Registration Type | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Regular | |

| | | Preliminary | |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Retroactive | evaluation (eg: | Rejected by |
| Pre-feasibility assessment | projects | Large Hydro or | UNFCCC |
| | (T.2.5.1) | palm oil-related | (T2.5.3) |
| | | project) (T.2.5.2) | |
| | | | |

If Retroactive, please indicate Start Date of Construction

21/03/2008 is the earliest date of start of construction which corresponds to Construction permission date



SECTION D. Unique project identification

D.1. GPS-coordinates of project location

Weir Powerhouse Latitude 41°23'53" N 41°23'36" N Longitude 41°44′06″ E 41°41′49″ E



Explain given coordinates

Aralik HEPP Project is situated in Borcka District of Artvin Province. The Project is located on Aralik River and close to Aralik Village.

D.2. Map



Figure 3. Aralik HEPP Project Location

SECTION E. Outcome stakeholder consultation process

E.1. Assessment of stakeholder comments



The preliminary stakeholder meeting has been held on 12th of March, 2009 in Borcka District which is the closest location to Aralik Hydroelectric Power Plants.In general stakeholders' comments were positive about the Project. Scores given by stakeholders have been assessed in preliminary stakeholder consultation report and further discussed during feedback round which is organized on 06/05/2010 in Aralık Hepp Powerhouse considering Gold Standard's comments about preliminary stakeholder consultation.

The main issues raised by the participants during the SC meeting were:

- How will the water be processed and returned to the river bed, and
- Consideration of employment of local people.

| Stakeholder Comment | As essment | Response to comment | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| How will the water be | Responded Promptly | The company had | |
| returned to the river bed? | | explained the process | |
| | | and ensured that water | |
| | | is returned to the river | |
| | | bed without change in | |
| | | quality and composition | |
| | | and in line with the state | |
| | | regulations. | |
| Job Opportunities. | Responded Promptly | The company | |
| | | recognizes that there is | |
| How many people will be | | an interest in job | |
| employed in the plant? | | opportunities associated | |
| | | with the plant. | |
| What will be the percentage | Responded Promptly | The amount is | |
| of water to be released to the | | determined by DSI | |
| river bed. | | considering water | |
| | | demand in river bed and | |
| | | local needs. In feasibility | |
| | | report this amount 150 L/s has been | |
| | | L/s has been determined but | |
| | | according to new | |
| | | regulation this has been | |
| | | defined as minimum | |
| | | 10% of natural flow ¹ . | |
| How much electricity will be | Responded Promptly | Estimated amount is | |
| generated | | 45.15GWh which is | |
| | | equal to consumption of | |
| | | 15,000-20,000 houses. | |
| | | 13,000-20,000 1100365. | |

¹ http://www.mevzuat.adalet.gov.tr/html/21493.html



| Responded Promptly | Although the water |
|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | rights are belong to DSI, |
| | since these plants have |
| | not been built for long |
| | time, implementation of |
| | these projects have |
| | been outsources. |
| | Hence, project will not |
| | have any impact on |
| | government spending. |
| Responded Promptly | There exists many |
| | projects in design and |
| | implementation phase. |
| | |
| Responded Promptly | No direct impact in local |
| | tariffs but will provide |
| | more reliable energy |
| | source. |
| | Responded Promptly |

All comments from stakeholders are taken into account and promptly responded.

E.2. Stakeholder Feedback Round

Feedback round has been organized in Aralık Hepp Powerhouse considering comments of GS about local consultation meeting. Feedback round has been organized to include all identified stakeholders. SFR has been held as a physical meeting on 06/05/2010 in powerhouse building. Summary of the project documents in Turkish have been distributed to stakeholders and presentation about project and certification processes have been during the meeting. Also, original copies of the documents will be made available to all stakeholders through GTE web page (<u>http://www.gte.uk.com</u>). The consultation period has continued for more than 60 days and documents ahve been made available on website meantime.

The SFR meeting has been opened by M.Kemal Demirkol and purpose and agenda of the meeting has been explained. Later, Mr Nihat Dedekli and M.Kemal Demirkol have made presentations about project and carbon certification. During presentation, main issues raised during preliminary meeting have also been shown. Later, questions of participants have been requested. Some technical details about turbines, control equipments and connection have been asked by participants and responded promptly by Mr Nihat Dedekli. After questions, SD matrix have been presented and explained to



participants and their feedback has been requested for the indicators and meeting has been closed. No have been raised for the SD indicators in addition to the ones raised during preliminary meeting.

Shuttles from Borcka Town and Aralık Village have been provided for interested participants. After the SFR meeting, a site visit has been made with interested stakeholders in powerhouse and weir location.



SECTION F. Outcome Sustainability assessment

F.1. 'Do no harm' Assessment



Project activities have been analyzed against questions in table 2.6 and in annex H of GS toolkit. Project is not complicit in corruption and fully respects human rights. Also, there exist no identified species under protection in the project area that will be affected negatively by the project.

Project is a run-off-river type project and does not involve use or generation of any hazardous waste. All of the project activity is implemented considering related environmental and safety precautions. Based on the analysis, only relevant areas related to project activity are determined as labour standards and environmental protection which are assessed as given in table below.

| Safeguarding principles | Description of relevance to my project | Assessment of my project risks breaching it (low/medium/high) | Mitigation measure |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Human Rig | hts | · |
| 1 The Project respects internationally proclaimed human rights including dignity, cultural property and uniqueness of indigenous people. The Project is not complicity in Human Rights abuses. | Not Relevant. Project activities are not expected to cause any human rights abuse. Turkey has ratified European Convention on Human Right in 10/03/1954 ^{2.} | Low | No mitigation measure is required for this indicator |
| 2 The Project does not involve and is not complicit in involuntary resettlement. | Project does not involve any resettlement. There exist an old house which is not used near the weir. It has been mutually agreed by land owner and house has been purchased by project manager (Cumhur Ali Kucukhas)initially which has been expropriated officially for project later | Low | No mitigation measure is required for this indicator |

² <u>http://www.istanbul.gov.tr/?pid=9218</u> (Accessed on 31/08/2009)



| Safeguarding principles | Description of relevance to my project | Assessment of my project risks breaching it (low/medium/high) | Mitigation measure |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | (Source:Expropri | | |
| | ation records.) | | |
| 3 The Project does not | Project does not | Low | No mitigation |
| involve and is not complicity | have any impact | | measure is required |
| in the alteration, damage or | on cultural | | |
| removal of any critical | heritage (Pre-EIA | | |
| cultural heritage. | page 35.) | | |
| | Labour Stan | l Idards | |
| 4 The Project respects the | Not Relevant. | Low | No mitigation |
| employees' freedom of | Turkey has | | measure is required |
| association and their right to | ratified ILO 87 | | for this indicator |
| collective bargaining and is | and 98 | | |
| not complicit in restrictions | conventions .All | | |
| of these freedoms and rights | staff recruited are | | |
| | employed | | |
| | according to the | | |
| | national | | |
| | legislations. ³ | | |
| 5 The Project does not | Not Relevant. | Low | No mitigation |
| involve and is not complicit | Turkey has | | measure is required for this indicator |
| in any form of forced or | ratified ILO | | for this indicator |
| compulsory labour. | convention 29 | | |
| | and 105 on | | |
| | forced and | | |
| | compulsory | | |
| | labour ³ . | | |
| | | Low | No mitigation |
| 6 The Project does not | Not Relevant. | | measure is required for this indicator |
| employ and is not complicit | Turkey is a party | | |
| in any form of child labour. | of IPEC* since | | |
| | 1992 and ratified | | |
| | ILO convention | | |
| | 138 and 182. | | |
| 7 The Project does not | Not Relevant. | Low | No mitigation |
| involve and is not complicit | Turkey has | | measure is required for this indicator |
| in any form of discrimination | ratified ILO | | |
| based on gender, | convention 100 | | |
| | and 111 and | | |

³ <u>http://www.ilo.org/public/turkish/region/eurpro/ankara/sozlesme/onaylanan.htm</u>



| Safeguarding principles | Description of relevance to my project | Assessment of my project risks breaching it (low/medium/high) | Mitigation measure |
|---|---|--|--|
| | discrimination based on gender is illegal in Turkey. ³ | | |
| 8 The Project provides workers with a safe and healthy work environment and is not complicit in exposing workers to unsafe or unhealthy work environments | Work Safety&Risk of accidents. The project activity involves working with high voltage electrical equipments. Therefore measures are taken for increasing awareness about risks and measures.Project will be implemented in compliance law on labour and work safety(#4857)(Pre- EIA page 54) | Low | All labours are trained in terms of work safety and relevant safety protocols. Necessary safety equipments will be distributed to the staff. |
| | Environmental F | Protection | |
| 9 The Project takes a precautionary approach in regard to environmental challenges and is not complicity in practices contrary to the precautionary principle. This principle can be defined as:"When an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, precautionary measures should be taken even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically." | Project do not involve open channel. Fences have been installed around the weir area to prevent any injury. (See pictures above taken after commissioning of the plant during SFR site visit). Project will be implemented in compliance with relevant regulations to minimize impact on environment. ⁴ Blasting activities will be made during tunnelling. Since the blasting will be made inside the tunnel and by licensed operators and it will be made for loosening | Low | Fences will be built around the weir. Trees affected during construction will be replanted after construction is completed. Providing protective equipment for workers. |

⁴ Regulation on the Assessment and Management of Environmental Noise(#25862) and Regulation on the Control of Solid Wastes(#20814)



| Safeguarding principles | Description of relevance to my project | Assessment of my project risks breaching it (low/medium/high) | Mitigation measure |
|--|---|--|---|
| | purposes before excavation, it does not expect to cause any risk for locals. In order to prevent workers from dust, masks and googles will be provided. (pre- Ela page 30) | | |
| 10 The Project does not involve and is not complicity in significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats, including those that are (a) legally protected, (b) officially proposed for protection, (c) identified by authoritative sources for their high conservation value or (d) recognized as protected by traditional local communities. | There exist no protected (or proposed to be protected), critical habitat or any identified endemic species within the project boundary (Pre-EIA page 35,40). Site studies in the project site has been conducted by an biologist on may 2006 which is described in detail under justifications section below. | Low | No mitigation measure is required for this indicator. |
| Anti-Corruption | Turkey has ratified several conventions on bribery and corruption including OECD and UN conventions ⁵ . | Low | No mitigation measure is required for this indicator |

⁵ <u>http://www.masak.gov.tr/en/LaunderingProceedsofCrime/Chronology.htm</u>



F.2. Sustainable Development matrix

| Indicator | Mitigation measure | Relevance to achieving MDG | Chosen parameter and | Preliminary score |
|--------------|--|---|---|----------------------|
| | | 5 | explanation | |
| *Air quality | Mitigation measure is not required for this | 7.A -Integrate the principles of sustainable development into | Parameter :SO ₂ and NOx emission | |
| | indicator | country policies and programmes and reverse the loss of environmental resources) | Baseline Emissions of SO ₂ and NOx due to fossil fuel combustion. | + |
| | | 7.B -7.2 CO ₂ emissions, total, per capita) | | |
| *Water | Ensuring that | - | Parameter: | |
| quality and | minimum | | Amount of water | 0 |
| quantity | flow will be released | | released and | 0 |
| | from the weir | | disposal of waste water . | |
| | to protect | | water . | |
| | aquatic life in | | Baseline: | |
| | the river bed. | | Natural flow of | |
| | Waste water | | river, no waste | |
| | will be | | water generation. | |
| | collected and | | | |
| | disposed as | | Quality of water will | |
| | per the | | not be affected by | |
| | regulations. | | the project activity. | |
| | | | Quantity of water | |
| | | | released will be | |
| | | | monitored to | |
| | | | ensure that | |
| | | | minimum flow is | |
| | | | achieved. Waste | |
| | | | water will be collected and | |
| | | | | |
| | | | disposed in the | |



| | | | plant. | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Soil condition | Excavation aggregates will be used in construction. | - | Parameter: Excavation aggregates, soil erosion and sediment passage | 0 |
| | Sediment passages and settling basin will be constructed to prevent | | Baseline No excavation waste, erosion in the absence of project activity, | |
| | sediment accumulation in the | | natural transport of sediment. | |
| | channel and weir. | | Excavation wastes will be used in construction work. Remaining(if any) aggregates will be stored appropriate locations. Sediment passage will enable transport along the river. No change in soil erosion will occur due to project activities. | |
| Other pollutants | All wastes will be stored, treated and disposed appropriately. | - | Parameter: Solid&liquid Wastes, dust and noise generated during construction and operation phases. Baseline No waste in pre- project scenario | 0 |
| *Biodiversity | Ensuring that minimum | - | Parameter: Minimum flow, | 0 |



| | water depth for migration is guaranteed. | | water depth for fish migration and plants affected. Baseline: Natural Flow of river. | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Quality of employment | All recruited technical staff will be trained for operation and maintenanc e of equipment and Health and safety measures. All Health and Safety measurements will be applied as required by local | - | Parameter: Number of certificates issued/trainings provided. Baseline: No certificate | 0 |
| Livelihood of the poor | regulations. No mitigation action is required also. | MDG target 1.A (Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people whose income is less than one dollar a day)and 1.B (Achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all, including women and young people) | Parameter: Poverty Alleviation, voluntary contributions Baseline: Living standards in the absence of project activity. | 0 |
| Access to affordable and clean energy services | No mitigation action is required for this indicator. | - | Parameter: Fossil fuel replaced Baseline: Imported Natural | 0 |



| | | | gas dominated electricity grid. | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | | Project will decrease dependency on import fossil fuels (Natural gas, Coal and petroleum) compared to baseline scenario. | |
| Human and institutional capacity | No mitigation measure is required for this | | Parameter: Education&Skills Baseline | 0 |
| | parameter. | | Less awareness about environmental issues compared to project scenario. | |
| | | | Project will contribute increasing awareness about environmental issues of the local people through | |
| | | | stakeholder consultation process. | |
| Quantitative employment and income generation | Mitigation measure is not required for this | MDG target 1.B (1.4, 1.5, 1.7 Achieve full and productive | Parameter: Payment made to staff. | + |
| | indicator. | employment and decent work for all, including women and young people) | Baseline: No payment due to project activities | |
| Balance of payments and | Mitigation measure is not required | MDG target 8.D (Deal comprehensively | Parameter: Currency saving due to avoided fuel | + |



| | | | | , |
|---------------|---|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| investment | for this | with the debt | import. | |
| | indicator. | problems of | | |
| | | developing | Baseline: | |
| | | countries through | Natural Gas | |
| | | national and | dominated Turkish | |
| | | international | electricity grid. | |
| | | measures in order | | |
| | | to make debt | Project will replace | |
| | | sustainable in the | fossil fuel import | |
| | | long term) | for electricity | |
| | | 5 , | generation and | |
| | | | result in net foreign | |
| | | | currency saving. | |
| Technology | Mitigation | MDG target 8.F | Parameter: | 0 |
| transfer and | measure is | (In cooperation | Expenditures for | Ũ |
| technological | not required | with the private | equipments | |
| self-reliance | for this | sector, make | equipments | |
| Sell-reliance | indicator. | available the | Baseline: | |
| | indicator. | benefits of new | None | |
| | | | | |
| | | technologies, | Project will enable | |
| | | especially | latest technology | |
| | | information and | transfer to Turkey | |
| | | communications) | and influence | |
| | | | development of | |
| | | | local suppliers and | |
| | | | know-how in the | |
| | | | country | |
| | Justification c | hoices, data source and | provision of references | |
| Air quality | | | | |
| | • | | electricity generation and | |
| | | | a result of incomplete com | |
| | monitoring, only SO | P_2 and NO _x emissions have | e been selected as monitor | ing parameter. |
| | (Source: National G | HG Inventory of Turkey | | |
| | - | | _inventories/national_inventori | es_submissions/applic |
| | ation/zip/tur_2009_crf | | | |
| Water quality | | | /pe HEPP, it does not car | |
| and quantity | change in water quality in terms of chemical, biological oxygen demand or any other pollutants. There exists no irrigation activity in the downstream of the weir. The flow | | | - |
| | • | • | by the DSI and can be | |
| | | | aquatic life) has been def | |
| | average natural flow of last 10 years ⁶ by the regulation which can be increased if necessary. | | | |
| | 10% of average flow is based on last 10 years' annual flow, therefore, in practice it | | | |
| | - | | flow in dry seasons and m | |
| | will be monitored I | by project owner and re | corded. It will also be mo | onitored by DSI Via |

⁶ http://www.mevzuat.adalet.gov.tr/html/21493.html



1

gauging stations installed along the river bed

| 1 | |
|---------------------|---|
| | baseline scenario Excavation aggregates will be used in construction therefore no significant erosion is expected in weir site ⁷ . The region is classified in IV(out of V) class according to Earthquake zone Classification Map of Turkey(Annex 5 and 6). Therefore project will be designed in compliance with regulation date 02/09/1997 (number 23098). Trees cut at penstock area will be replanted by Directorate of Forestry in areas where technically feasible. |
| | All wastes will be collected and disposed according to local regulation. |
| | During the construction phase, there will be insignificant changes on the topographical structure of the land as a result of certain activities such as excavation and road construction or upgrading. The aggregates from tunnel excavation will be used in concrete production and as filling material for locals (Pre EIA page 18). |
| | The sedimentation has been calculated considering flow rate and plant characteristics and a silting basin and passage has been designed with a 1% slope to prevent accumulation in the weir. Collected sediment in silting basin discharged to river bed regularly. Since the river flow shows high fluctuation, the sediment will easily be transported along the river especially during high flow seasons which can carry 59.63 m3/s (Q100) in flood seasons (Project max. Flow rate is 5 m3/s) |
| | The sediment passage built as a part of weir will enable sediment transport and also prevent accumulation in weir. |
| | (Source: Pre-EIA Report, page 5, Feasibility Report page 4-5) |
| Other pollutants | Project will not create any wastes(except domestic wastes which will be collected appropriately) during operation. |
| | During construction, excavation machines will cause dust and noise which will be minimized through measures as defined in pre-EIA to prevent negative impact. |
| | Noise and dust level during construction has been chosen as other source of pollutant which has also been assessed during Pre-EIA (page 24). It has been concluded that even all machines are operated at the same time; the noise limits wouldn't be reached. The nearest location to the project site Aralık village which is about 450m from the construction site. It has been determined that the noise at worst conditions will be below 48dBa. Since the equipment will not work all at same place and time, actual noise will be lower. The equipment used for construction will be in compliance with the "Regulations on environmental noise via equipment used in open land". (pre-EIA page 29) |
| | Dust formation has also been calculated in Pre-EIA document. Dust from tunnel, transport and other construction works have been assessed using US EPA. The dust emission has been calculated as 1.2 kg/h which is lower than limits defined by regulation 07/10/2004 and numbered 25606. |

⁷ Pre-EIA page 18,33 and Annex 6



| | (Pre-EIA page 24). Since the dust formation is below limits and related to construction period, no monitoring item has been defined. |
|--------------|--|
| | Other solid wastes generated during the construction and operation will be separated for recycling metals, paper etc and deposited appropriately as required by regulations. Solid waste of residential nature generated at the site will be stored in covered containers suitable for short term storage and they will be emptied periodically into the organized waste land of Borcka District, which is the nearest settlement. |
| | "Solid Waste Control Regulation" which became effective upon publishing on the Official Gazette by 14/03/1991 (numbered 20814)and other relevant regulations will be observed and wastes will be removed accordingly. |
| | Dust formation has been calculated within the scope of pre-EIA and is not expected to reach significant levels as the region is always rainy. |
| | There will not be any fresh oil storage in the plant. The oil change in equipments is expected to be mode once in a few years. They will not be stored in the plant for long time. If storage is necessary before disposal, appropriate measures will be issued for safely storage of oils. The oil wastes from equipment during construction and operation will be disposed as required by the regulations about control of dangerous wastes and regulation on petroleum and waste oil numbered 22387, 2440-5249 and 4473-7756. |
| | (Source: Pre-EIA, page 4, 16) |
| Biodiversity | Project site does not include any protected area or endemic plant species. The impact of project on flora and fauna has been assessed on site via observations and interviews with locals(pre EIA page 35) and literature surveys. It has been determined that the species in the construction area are common and there is no risk of extinction for these plant species. As fish species, existence of the trout species in the river has been determined by Directorate of Agriculture. Therefore fish passages have been integrated in project design considering existing fish species. The design of the passage is made to guarantee free flow from the passage to enable fish migration and considering the guide published by FAO and used by DSI. Design of weir and fish passage has been approved by State Hydraulic Works Authority. To ensure the impact of the project on fish species in the river and if necessary to identify additional measures, assessment of |

background and included in monitoring report. The expert report will include references and data relevant to local conditions and fieldwork. Any recommendations or need for additional measures will be discussed in the report.

Also, since the minimum water flow is continuously released to river bed and weir design involves a fish passage with guaranteed minimum depth, enabling upward migration, impact on biodiversity is not expected to be significant. In addition to flow released from the weir, there exists two main springs connecting to river bed at the downstream of the weir which carry minimum 100l/s flow even at dry seasons.

For sediment transport, a passage has been included in weir design to prevent accumulation. Since the project is a runoff river type hepp, it should not store sediment like dam type plants otherwise all the weir will be filled by sediment and project will be useless. For the proposed project a sediment passage has been designed. Sediment from the basin will pass from the sediment passage which also includes a continuous flow to prevent accumulation. In addition to passage at the weir, the stilling basin before the powerhouse also precipitates the sediment and this sediment will be conveyed to the river bed regularly.

As the appropriate mitigation measures are taken during construction, the indicator was scored as zero.

About 84 trees are expected to be cut during construction stage which is mainly on public land. Project owner will try to avoid cutting trees during construction. Exact number will be determined during construction. As a mitigation measure, project owner will make a payment to forestation fund of Directorate of Forestry. Fund collected will be used for plantation of new trees and rehabilitation of existing forest lands.

The measures about minimum flow and trees affected will already be monitored by DSI, Directorate of Agriculture and forestry and relevant government institutions as required by the local regulations. The trees impacts (if any) will be decided and marked by Directorate of Forestry and a payment for planting new trees will be made by project owner. The design of fish passage and minimum flow will be controlled and approved by DSI before grid connection of the project. The flow can be increased by DSI anytime if necessary as per the water right utilization agreement.

| | (Source: Pre-EIA, page 5, 34, 48-49, Forest permissions) |
|------------|---|
| Quality of | |
| employment | Due to Health and Safety regulations and technical skills required for operating equipments, |
| | training will be provided to relevant staff. Also, some of the board operators will have training |
| | to get certificate for working at high voltage level as requested by local regulations. |

| | Trainings and certificates provided will increase their capacity compared to baseline level. The trainings will be renewed for new staff and existing staff if necessary. The most significant risk for the staff would be due to working near the high voltage equipment. In order to prevent risks, staff will be trained by accredited national agencies and certified to work with high voltage equipment. Also, internal trainings will be provided to staff. |
|---|---|
| | (Source: Certificates & evidence for trainings to be provided during verification) |
| Livelihood of the poor | Income of local people employed in the plant will increase as a result of project activities which will also have impact on overall spending in the settlements near project site. According to State Planning Organization statistics, Borcka District is ranking as 295 th among 872 District in Turkey in terms of development and has a population decrease of about 9.23%. |
| | Project owner makes voluntary contributions to the locals in terms of donation of material and work force for infrastructure of the nearby settlements. Also, to ensure that no negative impact is made in terms of water resources, existence of water springs will be monitored after project implementation also. |
| | The closest settlement to the project site is Aralık village (about 500m). Since the project has a tunnel, only structures built are powerhouse and weir. Powerhouse is away from the settlement so has no interaction with locals. The weir site which is closer to settlements has been fenced to prevent any injury locals and their animals. The project has some contributions to locals in terms of new roads an job opportunities but impact in terms of poverty allevation is limited. Therefore, the scoring was kept neutral. |
| | (<u>http://ekutup.dpt.gov.tr/bolgesel/gosterge/2004/ilce.pdf</u> page 144) |
| Access to affordable and clean energy services | Fossil Fuel Replaced |
| Services | The project will reduce dependency on fuel and energy import through use of local and renewable resources and help meet national energy demand and enable diversification in the energy supply. According to projections, electricity demand of Turkish grid will increase significantly in coming year. Using local sources will reduce dependency on import fossil fuel and will lead to more sustainable energy services in Turkey. Therefore, this indicator was scored as positive. |
| | (Source: Capacity projection 2008-2017, http://www.teias.gov.tr/projeksiyon/KAPASITEPROJEKSIYONU2008.pdf figure 1., page 5) |
| Human and institutional capacity | Education&Skills Educational activities which are not part of the usual schooling system, such as environmental training, awareness raising and knowledge dissemination will increase through stakeholder meetings. Also, project will increase human and institutional capacity of the workers in terms of technical skills via trainings. Since the impact will be limited, the scoring was assessed as "0" |
| | (Source: stakeholder consultation report, trainings provided to workers) |



Г

GOLD STANDARD PASSPORT

| Quantitative | Payments made to Staff |
|---------------|--|
| employment | |
| and income | About 12 staff will be recruited during operation phase. This will create a significant |
| generation | contribution to the local economy. About 4 local staff are expected to be recruited in the |
| | plant if staff with necessary qualification can be identified. |
| | (Source: Social security and insurance payment documents, and |
| | (http://ekutup.dpt.gov.tr/bolgesel/gosterge/2004/ilce.pdf page 217)) |
| Balance of | Currency Saving |
| payments and | |
| investment | Turkey is heavily dependent on import fossil fuel, especially natural gas which is imported, |
| | for electricity generation. Project will reduce fuel import and result in net foreign currency |
| | saving proportional to electricity generation. |
| | (Source: TEIAS: <u>http://www.teias.gov.tr/ist2007/43.xls</u>) |
| Technology | Equipment Expenditures |
| transfer and | |
| technological | Project will assist in transfer of new technology for company and in addition, Technological |
| self-reliance | skills of local suppliers and technicians are also expected to increase as a result of trainings |
| | provided by the equipment manufacturers. However, since the impact will be limited to the |
| | company, indicator has been scored neutral. |
| | |

Discussion on Special Guidance for run-off-river type hepps.

Minimum flow: Project acitivity involves diversion of flow from Aralık creek via a conveyance tunnel and discharge to Coruh River. The distance between weir and powerhouse is about 3.5km. Minimum has been determined considering the average flow records of the creek. In order to monitor the impact of project after commissioning, an expert assessment will be carried out and additional measures will be implemented to ensure that the dynamic flow regime resulting from project implementation leads to a minimum flow sufficient at any time to prevent dried river(except natural cases), isolation of fish and benthic organisms, and critical oxygen concentration, as well as to ensure fish migration and guarantee habitat quality.

Impact on lateral and vertical connectivity of rivers: Project will not have any impact on lateral connectivity. In terms of vertical connectivity, since a continuous flow will be released from the weir and since the natural flow shows a high fluctuation and creek also dries in the absence of project, no significant impact on underground table is expected. As per the topography of the region and capacity of the weir, project cannot have any impact on floodplain(see pictures of the weir above).



Landscape: Project land use is limited to weir, penstock and powerhouse areas. For weir construction, area nearby existing motorway has been used. Similarly, powerhouse has been constructed nearby the motorway. Conveyance line is mainly tunnel (except a short ~85m) box type channel. In terms of landscape change, most significant land use will be for penstock construction which is about 453m between turbines and forebay. Area is owned by government therefore necessary permissions have been provided and fees for planting new trees has been paid to Directorate of Forestry.

Conservation of locally adapted species and ecosystems: Project construction has been implemented in compliance with the Law on "Aqua cultural species Law numbered 1380 and dated 22/3/1971" and relevant regulations on "Aquaculture production and reproduction"⁸ (PIF page 54). Nearly all of the conveyance line is tunnel, therefore land use is limited. Project intervention with river during construction is mainly during weir construction. In order to prevent disturbance to spawning habitat, aggregates in the river bed has been removed after construction is completed, wastewater has been collected in sewage tanks and disposed by the municipality trucks. During operation, measures including release of continuous minimal flow and functionality of fish passage will be monitored and additional measures will be implemented if necessary to ensure upward migration and access to spawning habitat.

No impairment of spawning habitat for fish: Project has been implemented in compliance with relevant regulations on protection of aqua cultural species as given above. Project is located just before the Aralık creek is connected to the Çoruh River, therefore it is important to enable upward fish migration. A fish passage has been built in compliance with DSI and FAO requirements. ⁹ Functionality of passage will be assessed by an expert and additional measures will be implemented if necessary. Project's impact on erosion is limited since it mainly involves a tunnel as conveyance line. In order to prevent erosion in penstock route, plantation will be implemented by Directorate of Forestry after construction works are completed

Free fish migration upwards and downwards: Fish passage has been designed considering flow characteristics of the creek and species in the region. Functionality will be assessed by an independent expert and further measures will be implemented if necessary. Fish passage design is made by experienced engineering companies considering the guidance of DSI and FAO¹⁰. Design of the fish passage should be made to enable continuous free flow from the passage as per the guidance. Regarding the functionality of the fish passage, an expert assessment will be carried out after commissioning of the plant and additional measures will be implemented if necessary. In order to identify the species in the creek, Directorate of Agriculture has been contacted and ti has been identified that the endemic species (salmo trytta macrostigma) does not exist in the river bed (PID page 34,48).

SECTION G. Sustainability Monitoring Plan

| No | 1 |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Indicator | Air Quality |
| Mitigation measure | N/A |
| Chosen parameter 1.1 | SO ₂ emissions by thermal power plants |
| Current situation of parameter | Project is operational, therefore has started to contribute |

⁸ <u>http://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/Metin.Aspx?MevzuatKod=1.5.1380&MevzuatIliski=0&sourceXmlSearch=</u>

⁹ www.fao.org/docrep/012/y4454tr/y4454tr.pdf

¹⁰ www.fao.org/docrep/012/y4454tr/y4454tr.pdf



| 1.1 | | reducing SO2 emissions. |
|--|-----------|---|
| Estimation of baseline of parameter | situation | Total SO ₂ emission related to electricity generation is about 936.1 Gg for 2007 according to National Inventory of Turkey ¹¹ . Considering that electricity generation in 2007 is 183,339.7 GWh, SO ₂ emission per MWh is calculated as 5.1 kg/MWh. |
| Future target for parar | neter 1.1 | SO ₂ emission reduction corresponding to electricity generation. |
| Chosen parameter 1.2 | 2 | NOx emissions by thermal power plants |
| Current situation of 1.2 | parameter | Project is operational, therefore has started to contribute reducing NOx emissions. |
| Estimation of baseline situation | | Total SO ₂ emission related to electricity generation is |
| of parameter | | about 202.9 Gg for 2007 according to National Inventory of Turkey. NOx emission per MWh is calculated as 1.1 kg. |
| Future target for parar | neter 1.2 | NOx emission reduction corresponding to estimated generation. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Electricity generated proposed project and NO_X and SO_2 emission data from GHG inventory of Turkey will be used as reference in calculation of the emission reduction. |
| | When | Yearly |
| | By who | Project Owner |

| No | 2 |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Indicator | Water Quality and Quantity |
| Mitigation measure | Release of minimum flow to protect aquatic life |
| Chosen parameter 2.1 | Flow rate of water released from the weir. |
| Current situation of parameter | Flow is released from the weir and fish passage for |
| 2.1 | aquatic life in the river bed. |
| Estimation of baseline situation | Natural flow of river course |
| of parameter | |

11

http://unfccc.int/files/national_reports/annex_i_ghg_inventories/national_inventories_submissions/application/zip/tur_2009_crf_1 3apr.zip_,Worksheet:Table1s1)



| Future target for parameter 2.1 | | Minimum 10% of last ten years average which |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | | corresponds to about 150 L/s flow ¹² . |
| Way of monitoring | How | Flow measurements from the weir and expert assessment |
| | | on adequacy of flow released. The expert report will |
| | | include references and data relevant to local conditions |
| | | and fieldwork. Any recommendations or need for |
| | | additional measures will be discussed in the report. |
| | When | Flow will be monitored continuously by project owner. For |
| | | cross check, data will be compared by DSI flow records. |
| | By who | Project Owner |
| Chosen parameter 2.2 | 2 | Waste water |
| Current situation of | parameter | All wastes are collected and disposed carefully as |
| 2.2 | | required by the local regulations. |
| Estimation of baseline | situation | None |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parar | neter 2.2 | Disposal of wastewater as required by the relevant |
| | | regulations. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Checking disposal records. |
| | When | Continuously |
| | By who | Project Owner |

¹² Preliminary EIA Report, page 49



| No | | 3 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Indicator | | Soil Condition |
| Mitigation measure | | Excavation wastes used in construction and for building |
| | | access road. |
| Chosen parameter 3.1 | | Storage of excavation wastes in appropriate locations. |
| Current situation of | parameter | All wastes have been stored appropriately as required by |
| 3.1 | | the regulations. |
| Estimation of baseline | situation | None as there exist no excavation waste. |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parar | neter 3.1 | Disposal of excavation wastes appropriately. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Through site visits and continuous monitoring during |
| | | construction. Permissions and records for disposal will be |
| | | provided during verification. |
| | When | Once after completion of the construction |
| | By who | Project Owner |
| Chosen parameter 3.2 | 2 | Accumulation of Sediment |
| Current situation of | parameter | |
| 3.2 | | Project is operational, no sediment accumulation has |
| | | been observed in the river bed. |
| Estimation of baseline | situation | None as there exist no weir |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parar | neter 3.2 | No accumulation in channel and weir. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Through site visits |
| | When | Yearly |
| | By who | Project Owner |
| Chosen parameter 3.3 | 3 | Soil Erosion |
| Current situation of | parameter | No erosion has been observed due to project activity after |
| 3.3 | | implementation of the project has started. |
| Estimation of baseline | situation | Erosion without project activity. |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parameter 3.3 | | No erosion due to project activities. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Through site visits |
| | When | Yearly |
| | By who | Project Owner |

| No | 4 |
|-----------|--------------|
| Indicator | Biodiversity |



| Mitigation measure | | Minimum water depth in the fish passage and additional |
|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| initigation medeulo | | measures if identified by expert. |
| | | |
| Chosen parameter | | Functionality of fish passage |
| Current situation of pa | arameter | A fish passage has been built which enables free flow |
| | | from the weir and upward migration. |
| Estimation of baseline | situation | None |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parar | neter | Fish passage enabling upward migration of species in the |
| | | river bed. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Monitoring free flow from the fish passage and |
| | | assessment of functionality of the fish passage by an |
| | | independent expert. Expert study will include site visit and |
| | | refer to relevant studies and involve recommendations (if |
| | | any). |
| | When | Continuously (for minimum flow)/ once after |
| | | commissioning of the plant(fish passage functionality. |
| | By who | Project Owner |



| No | | 5 |
|----------------------------------|---------|--|
| Indicator | | Biodiversity |
| Mitigation measure | | Payment for plantation of new trees |
| Chosen parameter | | Payment made to Directorate of Forestry |
| Current situation of pa | rameter | All permissions have been issued and payments have |
| | | been made for trees. |
| Estimation of baseline situation | | None |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parameter | | Minimize the affected trees and make payment for |
| | | plantation of new trees |
| Way of monitoring | How | Checking records for payment |
| | When | Once after construction is completed |
| | By who | Project Owner |

| No | | 6 |
|---|----------|--|
| Indicator | | Balance of payments |
| Mitigation measure | | Decrease dependency on fossil fuel through increasing |
| | | use of local resources. |
| Chosen parameter | | Currency saving. |
| Current situation of pa | arameter | In 2007, about 20.5 billion m ³ natural gas been used for |
| | | about 95,000 GWh electricity generation and more than € |
| | | 5 billion has been spent. |
| | | Source:http://www.teias.gov.tr/ist2007/43.xlsandhttp://www.teias.gov.tr/ist2007/36(06-07).xlsfor generation and fuelconsumption.http://www.izgaz-gdfsuez.com/Fiyatlar/19/30/Fiyatlar.aspxfor natural gas |
| | | price. |
| Estimation of baseline situation of parameter | | Project is operational, therefore it has started contributing use of local resources and currency saving. |
| Future target for para | meter | Decrease natural gas consumed for electricity generation. In parallel to electricity generation. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Through comparing electricity generated by the proposed project and natural gas that would be used to produce the same amount of electricity according to baseline scenario. |
| | When | Yearly |
| | By who | Project Owner |



| No | | 7 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---|
| Indicator | | DNH 8- Work Safety |
| Mitigation measure | | All recruited technical staff will be trained for operation and maintenance of equipment and Health and safety measures. Also, staff working with high voltage equipment will be certified (also required by regulations). The trainings will be renewed for new staff and existing staff when necessary. H&S equipments will be distributed to technical staff. Certificates will be kept on site. |
| Chosen parameter | | Training Records and equipments distributed |
| Current situation of parameter | | All technical staff recruited have been trained. |
| Estimation of baseline | situation | None |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parar | neter | Technical staff trained and provided necessary H&S equipments required for their job |
| Way of monitoring | How | Checking records for training and existence of |
| | | equipments |
| | When | Yearly |
| | By who | Project Owner |

| No | | 8 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---|
| Indicator | | DNH 9- Precautionary Measures |
| Mitigation measure | | Building fences around the weir |
| Chosen parameter | | Fences and security measures taken |
| Current situation of pa | rameter | None |
| Estimation of baseline situation | | Fences have been built around the short channel |
| of parameter | | between weir and tunnel. |
| Future target for parameter | | Fences built around the weir to prevent risk to humans or |
| | | animals |
| Way of monitoring | How | Site visits |
| | When | Yearly |
| | By who | Project Owner |

| No | 9 |
|--------------------|--|
| Indicator | Other Pollutants |
| Mitigation measure | Disposal and storage of oil and other wastes appropriately to prevent leakage. |
| Chosen parameter | Storage and disposal of Oil and other wastes |



| Current situation of parameter | | Wastes are collected and disposed. Used oil is also |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|
| | | stored to be disposed as per the regulations. |
| Estimation of baseline situation | | None |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parameter | | Waste oil will be collected, stored and disposed as required by regulations. Other wastes will be collected, stored and disposed as required by local regulations. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Through checking disposal records and checking whether any spillage has occurred. |
| | When | Continuously |
| | By who | Project Owner |

| No | | 10 |
|----------------------------------|--------|---|
| Indicator | | Quantitative Income Generation |
| Mitigation measure | | No mitigation measure is required |
| Chosen parameter | | Locally recruited staff |
| Current situation of parameter | | More than 12 staff have been recruited. Details will be |
| | | made available during verification. |
| Estimation of baseline situation | | None |
| of parameter | | |
| Future target for parameter | | 12 staff is expected to be recruited. About 4 of them are |
| | | expected to be chosen from locals. |
| Way of monitoring | How | Checking employment records for payment |
| | When | Annually |
| | By who | Project Owner |

| No | 11 |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Indicator | Livelihood of the poor |
| Mitigation measure | No mitigation measure is required |
| Chosen parameter | Voluntary contributions made |
| Current situation of parameter | All contributions given in PDD have been realized. |
| Estimation of baseline situation | None |
| of parameter | |
| Future target for parameter | Contributions to local community will be made during |
| | construction in terms of renovation of roads and public |
| | schools, mosques etc. Some of them are; |
| | 1. Renovation of the existing roads and paving |
| | the steep pathways with concrete, |
| | 2. Rehabilitation of the village clinic, |
| | 3. Renovation of the entrance door and roof of |
| | the village mosque, |
| | 4. Renovation of entrance door and roof of the |
| | village school, |
| | Donation of construction material to the |



| No | 12 |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Indicator | Livelihood of the poor |
| Mitigation measure | Ensuring that water is available and accessible to locals |
| | after project is implemented |
| Chosen parameter | Existence of natural spring |
| Current situation of parameter | No springs have disappeared due to project activity. |
| Estimation of baseline situation | Natural flow of springs. |
| of parameter | |
| Future target for parameter | Springs should not be affected due to tunnelling. If any |
| | decrease in springs occur, than this should be |
| | compensated via providing water and implementing |
| | measures |



| Way of monitoring | How | Interviews with locals to check whether any springs have |
|-------------------|--------|--|
| | | disappeared due to project activity. |
| | When | Once after construction is completed. |
| | By who | Project Owner |

Additional remarks monitoring

SECTION H. Additionality and conservativeness



This section is only applicable if the section on additionality and/or your choice of baseline does not follow Gold Standard guidance

H.1. Additionality

Additionality assessment is performed according to the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality" approved by UNFCCC. Details are available in PDD



H.2. Conservativeness

Conservative approach has been followed in calculating baseline emission factors and investment analysis sections as detailed in PDD.



ANNEX 1 ODA declaration

| ENERGO-PRO | ENERGO-PRO GÜNEY ELEKTRİK ÜRETİM SANAYI VE TİC. A.S. |
|--|--|
| ENERGOPPE | |
| January 11 th , 2011 | |
| Re: 12.41 MW ARALIK HYDRO ELECTR | IC POWER PLANT |
| To: Gold Standard Foundation | |
| Declaration of Non-Use of Official Developm | nent Assistance by Project Owner |
| Project Owner - Artvin Coruh Elektrik Ureti | im Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S |
| As Project Owner of the above-referenced I now make the following representations: | project, acting on behalf of all project participants, |
| Authorized Representative: Boyan Karsha | kov, Executive Manager |
| I hereby declare that I am duly and fully project, acting on behalf of all project parti representations on Project Proponent's be | y authorised by the project owner of the above referenced cipants, to make the following shalf: |
| Assistance (ODA). I understand that the registration if the project receives or bene that some or all credits coming out of th expressly declare that no financing pro- come from or will come from ODA that | A Standard Documentation relevant to Official Development e above-referenced project is not eligible for Gold Standard fits from Official Development Assistance under the condition he project are transferred to the ODA donor country. I now vided in connection with the above-referenced project has has been or will be provided under the condition, whethe e credits [CERs, ERUs or VERs] issued as a result of the otty or indirectly to the country of origin of the ODA. |
| ODA has been used to support the dev | believe at any stage of project design or implementation that relopment or implementation of the project, or that an entity t some point in the future benefit directly or indirectly from the condition of investment, I will make this known to the Gold |
| III. Sanctions. 1 am fully aware that under Section 10 damages may be incurred for the pro Standard credits. | of the Gold Standard Terms and Conditions sanctions an wision of false information related to Projects and/or Gol |
| Signed: 5 | |
| Name: Boyan Karshakov Title: Executive Manager On behalf of: Artvin Coruh Elektrik Uretin registry number: 96885 | n Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., registered in Turkey, with Trade |



ANNEX 2 Invitations for SFR Meeting

| Category Code | Organization (if relevant) | Name of invitee | Way of invitation | Date of invitation | Confirmation received? Y/N |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| A Aralık Village Headman | | Gürsel TURANLI | Personal Invitation/Ne wspaper Announceme nt | 29.04.2010 | Y |
| A - | | Local People | News Paper, Announceme nts, invitation via village heads | 29.04.2010 | Y |
| В | Artvin Governor | Cengiz AYDOĞDU | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| В | Governor of Borcka District | Serdar KARAL | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| В | Borcka District Special Administration Director | Halit KESKİN | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |



| | Develue District | | | 15.04.2010 | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------|---|
| В | Borcka District Directorate of Ministry of Agriculture | Engin ÖZPINAR | Invitation Letter | | Y |
| В | Borcka District Directorate of Ministry of Forestry | Nedim TÜYLÜ | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| В | Borcka Mayor | Oral KÜÇÜK | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| В | Borcka District Gendarme Commander | Aydoğan ŞAHİN | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| В | Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources | M. Kemal BÜYÜKMIH ÇI | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| В | Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources | Budak DİLLİ | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| В | District Directorate of Environment and Forestry | Ethem BOZ | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| С | Ministry of Environment and Forestry | Fulya Somunkıran oğlu | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| D | D Borcka District Hüs D Chamber of ARIF Tradesman Ğ | | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |



| | | | | 15.04.2010 | Y |
|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|
| D | Borcka District Municipality Social Support Foundation | Ersin SUNGUR | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Ŷ |
| D | Borcka District Director of Service to the Villages Foundation | İsmail ARSLAN | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| D | Foundation of Wild Life Protection | Foundation Reps | Invitation Letter/E-Mail | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| D | Borcka District Chamber of Tradesman | Hüseyin ARİFAĞAO ĞLU | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| D | Borcka District Municipality Social Support Foundation | Ersin SUNGUR | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| D | Borcka District Director of Service to the Villages Foundation | İsmail ARSLAN | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| E | Gold Standard Foundation | Nahla Sabet | E-Mail | 20.04.2010 | Y |
| F | WWF | Buket DİVRAK | Invitation Letter/E-Mail | 15.04.2010 | Y |
| F | REC – REEEP | Yunus ARIKAN | Invitation Letter | 15.04.2010 | Y |



| F | WWF | Ceren Ayas | E-Mail | 20.04.2010 | Y |
|---|------------|---------------------------------------|--------|------------|---|
| F | REEEP | info@reeep. org | E-Mail | 20.04.2010 | Y |
| F | Greenpeace | bilgi@greenp eace.org | E-Mail | 20.04.2010 | Y |
| F | Mercycorps | dmcintosh@ uk.mercycor ps.org | E-Mail | 20.04.2010 | Y |
| F | Helio | helio@helio- international. org | E-Mail | 20.04.2010 | Y |



15 Nisan 2010

T.C. Çevre ve Orman Bakanlığı Çevre Yönetimi Genel Müdürlüğü Söğütözü Caddesi No.14, Beştepe-Ankara Tel: 312-207 50 00 Faks: 312-207 62 99

Sn. Dr. Mustafa Şahin Daire Başkanı

Firmamız KAR-EN KARADENİZ Elektrik ve Üretim Ticaret A.Ş. ile Global Tan Enerji Limited Şti. arasında imzalanan Karbon Ticareti anlaşması gereğince, Artvin ili Borçka ilçesi sınırları içerisinde yer alan ARALIK Hidroelektrik Santralı Projemize yönelik sertifikalandırma işlemleri başlatılmıştır.

Proje'nin tanıtıldığı, İklim Değişikliği, Karbon Ticareti ve Sürdürülebilir Kalkınma Değerlendirme çalışmasının yapıldığı Yerel Paydaşlar Toplantısı 12 Mart 2009 tarihinde gerçekleştirilmiştir.

Gönüllü anlaşmalar çerçevesinde sertifikalandırılacak Projemiz için "GOLD STANDARD (GS)" değerlendirmesine dayalı bir çalışma yürütülmektedir. Sertifikalandırma süreci kapsamında, Proje'den etkilenen bütün paydaşların katılacağı ve ilk toplantının değerlendirilmesinin yapılacağı "Halkın Katılımı Geri Bildirim Toplantısı" düzenlenmektedir. Bu toplantı sonucunda hazırlanacak rapor, "Proje Tasarım Dökümanı" içinde yer alacak ve değerlendirilecektir. Projemize yönelik olarak GS formatında İngilizce hazırlanan dokümanlar <u>www.gte.uk.com</u> adresinde yayınlanmış olup, Türkçe hazırlanan "Bilgi Notu" ve "Halkın Katılımı Geri Bildirim Toplantısı" ile ilgili toplantı programı ekte tarafınıza gönderilmektedir. Aralık HES Projesi ile ilgili görüşlerinizi yukarıda belirtilen web sayfası aracılığıyla veya toplantı esnasında sözlü/yazılı olarak bildirmeniz de sağlanacaktır.

"Halkın Katılımı Geri Bildirim Toplantısı" 06 Mayıs 2010 tarihinde 10:00–12:00 saatleri arasında <u>Aralık HES Santral Binası, Aralık Köyü-Borçka</u> adresinde gerçekleştirilecektir.

Kıymetli katılımlarınızın firmamız için önemli olduğunu tekrar belirtir, konu ile ilgili olarak bilgi ve gereğini arz ederiz.

Saygılarımızla,

Nihat B. DEDEKLİ Yönetim Temsilcisi

Ekler:

- 1. Proje Bilgi Notu
- 2. Toplantı Programı

| | 19 | 0 4 | 🖈) 🔻 | | | GS 663- | Aralık HEPP Stake | eholder Fe | edback Round | - İleti (HTML |) | | | - 🗆 X |
|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------|---|---|-----------------|---|----------|------------------------|
| | İleti | Eklenti | ler | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| Yanıtla | Tūmūno Yanıtla Vanıtla | | Sil | Klasöre Kural K Taşı * Oluştur Eyl Eylemler | Diğer Gönd | Güvenilirler List dereni 🛄 Önemsiz Posta gelle Önemsiz E-posta | | ere Izle Seçenekl | Okunmadı Olarak İşaretle er ^{Fy} | A Bul → Ilişkili + → Seç + Bul | | | | |
| Kime: Bilgi: Konu: | 'cayası 'Nahla : GS 663 | @wwf.org.1 Sabet'; 'shn 3-Aralık HE | r'; 'bilgi@ 1@gte.ul PP Stake | k.com'; 'M.Kemal Demir holder Feedback Rou | rkol'; 'Faupel, Axe und | ercycorps.org'; 'helio@helio el (Berlin)'; 'Pelchen, Arthur | | | orgʻ | | | | Tarih | : Sal 20.04.2010 15:19 |
| 10:00 The of Best M.Ke Glot Ehlit Balg E-p Tel Fax | All, cholder am, tu docume Regard cmal De oal Ta oeyt Mh. at –Çan osta : costa : costa : | feedbac rkish tim ents will b s, mirkol n Energ | k round e. e avail kak No: ara TÜR 20 472 : 2) 472 : | able on GTE web s 7/2 06520 KİYE <u>com</u> 35 00 | e Visit for Aral | | 11 | | | | | eeting will be organize dback round for the pi | 5. 6 | and start at |
| 🦅 B | aşlat |) 6 | i 🖸 (| 🔊 👋 🔀 🤂 GTE içi | inde Gönde | 🖂 G5 663-Aralik HE | 🚞 ARALIK | | Pre-feasibility | / As 🗃 | Aralik_G5_Passp | GS_LSC_aralik dr | TR 🔍 😡 😋 | P 🙀 🗿 🦻 14:46 |

Figure 1. Invitation message sent to GS and supporting NGOs for SFR Meeting











under ander haskasma testimi halinde doldurulur.

I.C.U

30

| GOLD STANDARD PASSPORT Kabul merkezi OB28782651088 Havale Kabul merkezi Digerleri Obgerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup (Degerli) mekrup Media (Degerli) mekrup Media (Degerli) mekrup Media Media Media Media Media Media Media Media Media Media Media |
|--|
| Posta kndu ve Varis Veri <u>1018</u> <u>Bolecka</u> (2) Alteinin kendisine teslim edilmemesi haliade özet görevtilere verilehilir. |
| Adresi Ocmon Islehme Modellajr Posta kudu ve Varis Veri <u>(0)8/4/0/0</u> BORCKA (2) Alicium kendisine teslim edilmemesi haliade özel görevlilere verilehilir. |
| |
| Super State of the second and the second and second |

Figure 3. Receipts for Invitations Letters



ANNEX 3 SFR Meeting Participant List

KAR-EN KARADENİZ ELEKTRİK VE ÜRETİM TİCARET A.Ş. ARALIK HES PROJESİ <u>HALKIN KATILIMI GERİ BİLDİRİM TOPLANTISI</u>

| KATILIMCI ADI VE SOYADI | KURUMU | GÖREVİ | ADRESİ | TELEFONU | İMZASI |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Zahmi ENGIN | εT | | Aruhl kori | | Linger M. |
| C Jack GENETURK | 15002 | | NPACH KEYD | | (tatatik |
| H. fue Dermont | 11 | | Male Legu | 5- 6-20 - 1 × | 1. Josephick . |
| Solar Whend | Con satis C | | | | 1 stor |
| Fritzia hande | Unicher | | | | |
| Atas A | 210-4 | | Denstructur | 2117) GAR | 3-0 |
| Molle Cher Friend | Nibergen | | $\widetilde{D}^{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{i_{$ | a saitur | 10 - |
| 1. azin 2281 | Insatzi | | Alton of h | 2 | like |
| Conty Gardanates | Altheight | | Taniell Ver- | 1977 (1979) 1977 - 1977 (1979) | di- |
| | | | | | SAYFA 5/6 |

KAR-EN KARADENİZ ELEKTRİK VE ÜRETİM TİCARET A.Ş. ARALIK HES PROJESİ HALKIN KATILIMI <u>GERİ BİLDİRİM TOPLANTISI</u> 6 Mayıs 2010

| | | 6 MayIs 2010 | | 7 | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| KATILIMCI ADI VE SOYADI | KURUMU | GÖREVİ | ADRESI | TELEFONU | İMZASI |
| teres in 7 | Alter Vie de | the second | | | |
| mer hence | Real of the start | C.w. | | | AN |
| Allimet Usik | $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}$ | | | | Aal |
| The second second | Atom | 1 Carlo | | all wards | Lich |
| 1 West KESKIN | M.E.B. | öpetrer | Borgha/ser uns | | Melastin |
| Ismail Huspin | Michael Mal | 2 \$ | BUCH | | |
| Famores DEmine | Analk | Course: | | | (ear- |
| William Col K DRANIA | | | many and the page | | . SF } |
| Deman Kar Tala | percha. | 2 minune | 15 wetter | 4151571 | her |

SAYFA 1/6



KAR-EN KARADENİZ ELEKTRİK VE ÜRETİM TİCARET A.Ş. ARALIK HES PROJESİ

HALKIN KATILIMI GERİ BİLDİRİM TOPLANTISI

| KATILIMCI ADI VE SOYADI | KURUMU | GÖREVİ | ADRESI | TELEFONU | İMZASI |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Leynep Gunzeln | Enginale.rs | tinh. | Anhea | | 25 |
| Hondon 20 yry p DENMIT | | Date of the Co | <i>E. 1</i> | | 42 |
| 6.5 AVALAN | (IKB | Dr. Bak No. | с., | | Aui |
| ÉTiset TURATION | Muhter | - | Arste koyu | | Ant |
| Selin Gel | Luchi | | Arablebogi | | _yol |
| AL: EDNUC | DS: 26 Est dist | lity houdis | | | 48. |
| Enclose Gill | | lozti | Arable | | Dlait |
| Alara Eren | | Karti _ | Hinlik | ه | |
| Those how Deart | Escep. | 0 | How like bright | 2132 61 51173 | puese |
| takin Estimik | Moteshit | | Alaca Keys | | SAYFA 4/6 |



| ate and time: 06 th May 2010 ocation: Adana, Turkey | · · · | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------------|---|--|
| Name participant, job/position in the community | Male/Female | Contact Info | Organisation (if relevant) | Contact detai |
| Zeynep gündogdu | Female | | Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources (ETKB) | Ankara -ETKE |
| Handan Dönmez | Female | | ETKB | Ankara -ETKI |
| Ergun Akalan | Male | | ETKB | Ankara -ETKE |
| Selim Gül | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Ali Şanlı | Male | | DSI | DSI Directorate 26 th Region |
| Önder Gül | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Musa Özen | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| İbrahim Atan | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Hakan Özdemir | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Rahmi Engin | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Faruk Gencturk | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Metin Demirel | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Bulent Demirel | Male | | Journalist | |
| Fatma Kucuk | Male | | Journalist | |
| Seref Sisman | Male | | Shopkeeper | Demirciler Villa |
| Özer Şişman | Male | | | Demirciler Villa |
| Nazim Özer | Male | | | Atanoglu Villag |
| Cagatay Bayramoglu | Male | | Constructor | Taraklı Villlage |
| Bahri Ay | Male | | | Atanoglu villag |
| Arif Keskin | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Ahmet Gül | Male | | | Aralık Village |
| Erkan Atan | Male | | | Atanoglu Villag |
| Murat KEskin | Male | | Teacher | Borcka |
| İsmail Hosgor | Male | | Shopkeeper | Borcka |
| Ramazan Demir | Male | | Security Staff | Aralık Village |
| Volkan Gokdemir | Male | | | Borcka |
| Osman Kurtuluş | Male | | Municipality Police | Borcka |

| Name | Impressions about Meeting | Positive | Negative |
|-------------|------------------------------|---------------|----------|
| Şükrü Çerçi | Positive | Sensitive for | None |
| | | environmental | |
| | | issues and | |



| | | beneficial | |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Necdet Ozcelik | What will be the | - | - |
| | benefit for | | |
| | municipality | | |
| Şeref Şişman | Meeting was very | - | - |
| | positive | | |
| Ergün Akalan | Meeting was | Capacity factor of | Use of local |
| | necessary and | the project, net | technology is not |
| | important for | head and project | very high |
| | informing local | technology | |
| | people and | | |
| | government | | |
| | agencies | | |
| Bülent Atasert | Communication with | Informing locals and | More participation |
| | relevant agencies | public institutions | from locals should |
| | for cheaper energy | about project | be achieved. |
| | supply | | |
| Fatma Küçük | Efforts for using | Thanks for the | - |
| Atasert | cheaper energy is | meeting. | |
| | positive | | |
| Hızır Kesin | - | - | - |
| Erkan Atar | The issues raised in | - | - |
| | the meeting were | | |
| | detailed and useful | | |
| Gürsel Turanlı | Meeting for | Job opportunities | Impact on nature |
| | informing local was | created, flows were | was negative but it |
| | useful | used to generate | will recover in time. |
| | | energy | |
| Ali Şanlı | - | Clean energy and | Flow reduced in |
| | | preventing foreign | river bed would |
| | | exchange deficit. | have negative |
| | | | impact on |
| | | | biodiversity. |
| Handan Zeynep | Useful informing | Use of renewable | - |
| Dönmez | community | resource, reduction | |
| | | of CO2 emission | |
| | | and foreign | |
| lemell Lissan | | dependency. | |
| İsmail Hosgor | Information was | Contribution to | - |
| | useful | reducing energy | |
| O a ma ta | | deficit is useful | Neze |
| Cagatay | I am supporting | Project is positive. | None |
| Bayramoglu | since energy is | Impact on nature is | |
| | needed for Turkey | very low. | |



| | to grow | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Ramazan Demir | Positive | Hope generation is | None |
| | | successful | |
| Önder gül | - | Contribution to | - |
| | | economy and | |
| | | creating limited job | |
| | | opportunities | |
| Selim Gül | Meeting was good | Contribution to | - |
| | | economy | |
| Rahmi Engin | Information was | Generation and | Landscaping has |
| | useful | contribution to | not been completed |
| | | public is good. | yet. |
| Nazım Ozer | Positive | - | - |
| Hakan Özdemir | Thanks for | As we need energy | Only negative |
| | informing us | nowadays, the | impact was |
| | | impact on national | temporary impact |
| | | economy and local | on environment |
| | | enonomy during | during construction. |
| | | construction is | However, since the |
| | | positive | nature recovers |
| | | | itself very easily in |
| | | | this region,it is not |
| | | | very significant. |
| Zeynep günaydın | The participation | In order to reduce | It may not be called |
| | from locals were | foreign dependency, | negative but a |
| | limited but meeting | use of renewable | protection line |
| | was good | resources and | around turbines |
| | | reducing foreign | might be useful. |
| | | dependency is | |
| | | useful | |



r

GOLD STANDARD PASSPORT

| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED Imza Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? Du MLU Toje ile ilgili olumlu buldugunuz konular nelerdir? Gouroze Orhach ve fazzlali | MATE | Ad Soyad | Sikri GIRGI |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| Qually roje ile ilgili olumlu buldugunuz konular nelerdir? Gouroze Du Darli ve fazzlali | GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | lmza | L |
| Qually roje ile ilgili olumlu buldugunuz konular nelerdir? Gouroze Du Darli ve fazzlali | oplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | | |
| | | | .], |
| | roje ile ilgili <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz konula | r nelerdir? | |

| Joseph |
|----------------------|
| |
| |
| ve gibi fayzalanacul |
| |
| |

-



| A GE | Ad Soyad | Foref Silm |
|---|----------|------------|
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | lmza | Que |
| Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | | |
| Gok givel g | , , | |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> buldugunuz konular | | |

| CTE | Ad Soyad | Ergi | in | AK F | IL AI | V. |
|--|------------------------------------|---------|---------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | İmza | | All | ~ | | |
| oplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Haltin ve yerel yete ôremli e peretti tir | | e lay | lin U Ring | nesí Sú | agis. Under | in In |
| | nelerdir? | a | | | agis. Singg | in In |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> buldugunuz konular - Proje Espossite tallanın - Net Jalsebbl - Detnobat fonzyle a Proje ile ilgili <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz konula | nelerdir? 1 Oroni, 1 Leco ig | s bir g | nye | | - | |
| Proje ile ilgili olumlu buldugunuz konular | nelerdir? 1 Oroni, 1 Leco ig | s bir g | nye | | - | |



GOLD STANDARD PASSPORT Balert Ataset Ad Soyad GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED Santo İmza Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? UCHZ energi algorimi Tain sipili Koumlava bilpi oiliferifi jogmak. Proje ile ilgili olumlu bulduğunuz konular nelerdir? Prose gerek halta ve perekse konunga perekli ilpili tisilete ara ona teknandasil Proje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konular nelerdir? Halkin Jahada farla Katilini yaptırılması

Forma KEast Phosent Ad Sovad GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED 10 İmza Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? Krassel ne perel planak un energi taluchet iain Galismalan Japmanizi Proje ile ilgili olumlu bulduğunuz konular nelerdir? Gayet dunla ve andandi teplantinic icin tesaktor ederil. Proje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konular nelerdir?



| @ GTF | Ad Soyad | HIZIT Kestin |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMIT | ED İmza | Aller |
| oplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdi | ? | |
| Mole | | |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> buldugunuz ko | nular nelerdir? | |
| roje ile ilgili <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz ko Mok. | Ad Soyad | Erbor Allon |
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMIT | ED İmza | Enand |
| Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdi | r? | |
| Ngalanti ola yogilar ko Proje ile ilgili <u>alumlu</u> buldugunuz ko | | th ve gizel ocillada japally |
| | | |
| | and the second se | |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz k | onular nelerdir? | |



| ear | Ad Soyad | Gürsel | TURAN |
|--|------------------|-------------|-------|
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | İmza | DAA- | - |
| Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | | | |
| Biles toplantisin balduzumu soyly | e blrm | Imasi olum | lu |
| Proje ile ilgili olumlu buldugunuz konular | nelerdir? | | |
| Vatan dagi 18, ink Sugan Energiye don | 2nd 65 ugmesu | Sevendorici | Alan |
| Proje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konula | ar nelordic) | | _ |
| Dogo yi tarra Eti | Ame Z | omme, du | yan |
| | | | 1 |

| © GTE | Ad Soyad | AIE | SANCI |
|--|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | lmza | AR | |
| Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | | | • |
| | | | |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> buldugunuz konula | r nelerdir? | | |
| Teniz Cheryi ve Enlemet | dir üle | elerk d | Svizi fidizini |
| Proje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konul | | | |
| Pere yot- finds big youte etileyees | ogegitti. | k özer | ige olumisur n arolnos, ile |



Т

| @GTF | Ad Soyad | Konden zegnes Donner |
|--|-------------------|--|
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | lmza | 95- |
| Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | <u></u> | C |
| Toplomu Bilgelad | L- re | accorden yeverli |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> buldugunuz konular | Delerdir? | |
| Yenlerebilir ever Raisyrnon analtmasi, agistuden Blumly. | i karn Isa bag | app aluan (or) imilitize duzorment |
| Proje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konula | r pelerdir2 | |
| · | | |

| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED Imza Toplanti ile ilgili görlişleriniz nelerdir? Bulgulen der men genanden ugi ol Proje ile ilgili olumlu buldugunuz konular nelerdir? | |
|---|------------|
| Vulpilonderman jonander ugs ol | |
| Vulpilonderma jonander ujs ol | |
| | der |
| Olkemmen energi aigipina alaegenden alund bulunon | pool his i |
| roje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konular nelerdir? | |
| | ~ |



| | Ad Soyad | Gogoby RATRAMOGUL |
|--|------------------|--|
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | İmza | Mans |
| oplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | 1 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| Obula bis projese de | 1079 Got | pab ethis charter der |
| oje ile ilgili <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz konula | ir neierdir? | |
| oje ile ilgili <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz konula GAL | | |
| | Ad Soyad | Ramoran Démik |
| | | |
| 94 SGTE | Ad Soyad | |
| 342 See GIE GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | Ad Soyad İmza | |

Proje ile ilgili <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz konular nelerdir?

YOK



| SCIE | Ad Soyad | önder Gül |
|---|--------------|------------------|
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | İmza | Öhin |
| Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | | |
| | | |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> buldugunuz konular | malavil: 2 | |
| Ekomonige Ka | xAEC : | Som saglantste |
| ALNA DIZO | 1.1.4 | 1 alon hole |
| | rofe h | doin sagiamistic |
| Proje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konula | ir nelerdir? | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 1 | |
| ACTE | Ad Soyad | Selin Car |
| UIL | L | - A |
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITED | Imza | - Agel |
| Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? | | |
| | | |
| 130 | elms | Aur |
| | | |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> buldugunuz konular | r nelerdir? | |
| thomani | ye & | seedle saplaceit |
| | | |
| Proje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konula | ar nelerdir? | |
| | | |
| - | | |
| | | |



| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITE | Ad Soyad | Rahml ENGIN |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|
| | Ímza | Rennolla |
| oplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdiri | , | 1 cr mana / 1 |
| Bilghlendinne byt. | | |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> bulduğunuz kon | ular nelerdir? | |
| Eletrik bretimi. | 10- doulet | e kellin beli |
| proje ile ilgili <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz ko Genre dirzensinin da geichlidisi, | he yerpi | Nazin 'OCU |
| GLOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITE | l D İmza | 1/si |
| oplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdiri | | 200-1 |
| Proje ile ilgili <u>olumlu</u> bulduğunuz kon | ular nelerdir? uldcm | |



HAKAN ODEMIE Ad Soyad OBAL TAN ENER İmza Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? Olaya sudece ticari quele bakmadiguie ve insanlar, bilinglandir. Ligmie igin tesekkar ederie. Proje ile ilgili olumlu buldugunuz konular nelerdir? Shediye ittigacimie alon zu samant isike etonomisine getindigi fayte ve yapımı opamasınt bilganire olan ekonomik katkısı. Proje ile ilgili olumsuz bulduğunuz konular nelerdir? Tek olumous buldudumus kono geurage voiles genici tahribat Pakat dadanin kendini kolay yoniledidh bir balgada yapadidinin igin bunuda olumous bir sebep olonak disponnet yonlis olur. Ad Soyad Legny GUNAYDA HOBAL TAN ENERGY LIMITEE İmza Toplantı ile ilgili görüşleriniz nelerdir? Ablen letiling sinter drasing romen alunte peecen si toplati older Proje Ile ilgili olumlu buldugunuz konular nelerdir? orzina ketkide bilunnasi agisud Furteryeline eneni her venilenesitin eneni konorran elektrit vetti kullenilmasininger dis Berlinkligen aza. Hilmasu Proje ile ilgill <u>olumsuz</u> bulduğunuz konular nelerdir? Jungers denemer and is generlier acusinder ogehilde torsin benader, igen bir Komme sistemi Heppmans gerchappini durchozon



Developers Gold Standard version two





FIELD